Bandlets and Model Selection for Image Estimation

E. Le Pennec / LPMA / Université Paris Diderot (Paris 7)
S. Mallat, Ch. Dossal et G. Peyré,
F. Autin, J.-M. Loubes et V. Rivoirard

5 September 2007

Noisy



EstimationWaveletsBandletsImage: Strain S







Geometric image approximation.





- Geometric image approximation.
- Bandlet basis and bandlet estimation.



- Geometric image approximation.
- Bandlet basis and bandlet estimation.
- Thresholding, model selection, minimax and maxiset.



- Geometric image approximation.
- Bandlet basis and bandlet estimation.
- Thresholding, model selection, minimax and maxiset.
- Sketch of proof.

• Approximations of f which is \mathbf{C}^{α} away from \mathbf{C}^{α} "edge" curves:



Approximations of f which is \mathbf{C}^{α} away from \mathbf{C}^{α} "edge" curves:





• With M wavelets: $||f - f_M||^2 \le C M^{-1}$.

• Approximations of f which is \mathbf{C}^{α} away from \mathbf{C}^{α} "edge" curves:





With M wavelets: ||f − f_M||² ≤ C M⁻¹.
 (Cohen, DeVore, Petrushev, Xue): Optimal for bounded variation functions: ||f − f_M||² ≤ C M⁻¹.

• Approximations of f which is \mathbf{C}^{α} away from \mathbf{C}^{α} "edge" curves:





- With M wavelets: $||f f_M||^2 \le C M^{-1}$.
- (Cohen, DeVore, Petrushev, Xue): Optimal for bounded variation functions: $||f f_M||^2 \le C M^{-1}$.
- But: does not take advantage of any geometric regularity.

• Approximation of f that is \mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours:



• Approximation of f that is \mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours:





Piecewise linear approximation with M adapted triangles: if $\alpha \ge 2$ then $||f - f_M||^2 \le C M^{-2}$.

• Approximation of f that is \mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours:





- Piecewise linear approximation with M adapted triangles: if $\alpha \ge 2$ then $||f - f_M||^2 \le C M^{-2}$.
- Higher order approximation with M adapted "higher order elements": $\|f - f_M\|^2 \le C M^{-\alpha}$.

• Approximation of f that is \mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours:





- Piecewise linear approximation with M adapted triangles: if $\alpha \ge 2$ then $||f - f_M||^2 \le C M^{-2}$.
- Higher order approximation with M adapted "higher order elements": $\|f - f_M\|^2 \le C M^{-\alpha}$.
- Not a basis and difficult optimization.

• Approximation of f that is \mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours:





 M^{-1}

- Piecewise linear approximation with M adapted triangles: if $\alpha \ge 2$ then $||f - f_M||^2 \le C M^{-2}$.
- Higher order approximation with M adapted "higher order elements": $\|f - f_M\|^2 \le C M^{-\alpha}$.
- Not a basis and difficult optimization.
- If $\alpha = 2$: curvelet tight frame is almost optimal.



 $Image \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \text{ simple}$



J Image $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ simple piecewise.





- $Image \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \text{ simple piecewise.}$
- Locale deformation \implies vertical/horizontal singularities.





- Image $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ simple piecewise.
- \checkmark Locale deformation \implies vertical/horizontal singularities.
- Local bandlets: preimage of an adapted basis.







- Image $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ simple piecewise.
- \checkmark Locale deformation \implies vertical/horizontal singularities.
- Local bandlets: preimage of an adapted basis.
- Bandlet basis: dyadic segmentation + a geometry per square.







- Image $C^{\alpha} C^{\alpha}$ simple piecewise.
- \checkmark Locale deformation \implies vertical/horizontal singularities.
- Local bandlets: preimage of an adapted basis.
- Bandlet basis: dyadic segmentation + a geometry per square.
- **D Theorem:** If f is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$, then, in a best basis,

$$||f - f_M||^2 \le C(\log M)M^{-\alpha}$$







- Image $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ simple piecewise.
- \checkmark Locale deformation \implies vertical/horizontal singularities.
- Local bandlets: preimage of an adapted basis.
- Bandlet basis: dyadic segmentation + a geometry per square.
- **D** Theorem: If f is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$, then, in a best basis,

$$||f - f_M||^2 \le C(\log M)M^{-\alpha}$$

• Lagrangian approach: minimization of $||f - f_M||^2 + T^2 M \implies$ thresholding in a fixed basis (easy) and best basis search (difficult).







- Image $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ simple piecewise.
- \checkmark Locale deformation \implies vertical/horizontal singularities.
- Local bandlets: preimage of an adapted basis.
- Bandlet basis: dyadic segmentation + a geometry per square.
- **Description Theorem:** If f is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$, then, in a best basis,

$$\|f - f_M\|^2 \le C(\log M)M^{-\alpha}$$

- Lagrangian approach: minimization of $||f f_M||^2 + T^2 M \implies$ thresholding in a fixed basis (easy) and best basis search (difficult).
- Hierarchical structure of the segmentation and additivity of the Lagrangian : Wickerhauser's best basis algorithm (CART).







- Image $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ simple piecewise.
- \checkmark Locale deformation \implies vertical/horizontal singularities.
- Local bandlets: preimage of an adapted basis.
- Bandlet basis: dyadic segmentation + a geometry per square.
- **D Theorem:** If f is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$, then, in a best basis,

$$\|f - f_M\|^2 \le C(\log M)M^{-\alpha}$$

- Lagrangian approach: minimization of $||f f_M||^2 + T^2 M \implies$ thresholding in a fixed basis (easy) and best basis search (difficult).
- Hierarchical structure of the segmentation and additivity of the Lagrangian : Wickerhauser's best basis algorithm (CART).
- Exhaustive exploration of the geometries in each square.

Bandlets: monoscale geometric representation.



- Bandlets: monoscale geometric representation.
- Wavelets: good multiscale representation.



- Bandlets: monoscale geometric representation.
- Wavelets: good multiscale representation.
- How to combine both?



- Bandlets: monoscale geometric representation.
- Wavelets: good multiscale representation.
- How to combine both?
- Bandlets on the wavelet coefficients!



- Bandlets: monoscale geometric representation.
- Wavelets: good multiscale representation.
- How to combine both?
- Bandlets on the wavelet coefficients!
- Analogy with the visual system.



- Bandlets: monoscale geometric representation.
- Wavelets: good multiscale representation.
- How to combine both?
- Bandlets on the wavelet coefficients!
- Analogy with the visual system.
- Similar ideas in JPEG2000, Edgeprint,...

Local Bandlet Basis


Bandlets 2G (*Peyré*) : orthogonal change of basis on the wavelet coefficients adapted to the geometry.



- Bandlets 2G (*Peyré*) : orthogonal change of basis on the wavelet coefficients adapted to the geometry.
- Multiresolution space of piecewise polynomial approximation.



- Bandlets 2G (*Peyré*) : orthogonal change of basis on the wavelet coefficients adapted to the geometry.
- Multiresolution space of piecewise polynomial approximation.
- Basis of the orthogonal complement spaces (Alpert).



- Bandlets 2G (*Peyré*) : orthogonal change of basis on the wavelet coefficients adapted to the geometry.
- Multiresolution space of piecewise polynomial approximation.
- Basis of the orthogonal complement spaces (Alpert).
- Image of the wavelets through this change of basis: bandlets 2G.



- Bandlet basis:
 - dyadic segmentation of the subbands,
 - geometry in each square.



- Bandlet basis:
 - dyadic segmentation of the subbands,
 - geometry in each square.
- **• Theorem:** If f est $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$, then, in the best basis,

 $\|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\alpha}$



- Bandlet basis:
 - dyadic segmentation of the subbands,
 - geometry in each square.
- **D Theorem:** If f est $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$, then, in the best basis,

$$\|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\alpha}$$

Basis structure and polynomial number of bandlets: "fast" optimization algorithm (dynamic programming/CART) of

$$||f - f_M||^2 + T^2 M$$



- Bandlet basis:
 - dyadic segmentation of the subbands,
 - geometry in each square.
- **D Theorem:** If f est $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$, then, in the best basis,

$$\|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\alpha}$$

Basis structure and polynomial number of bandlets: "fast" optimization algorithm (dynamic programming/CART) of

$$||f - f_M||^2 + T^2 M$$

How to use these bases for estimation?

Solution Estimation in the white noise model: $Y = f + \epsilon W$ with W a standard gaussian white noise and ϵ the known standard deviation.

- Solution Estimation in the white noise model: $Y = f + \epsilon W$ with W a standard gaussian white noise and ϵ the known standard deviation.
- Question: how to find an estimate F of f from Y so that the quadratic risk $E(||f F||^2)$ is small?

- Solution Estimation in the white noise model: $Y = f + \epsilon W$ with W a standard gaussian white noise and ϵ the known standard deviation.
- Question: how to find an estimate F of f from Y so that the quadratic risk $E(||f F||^2)$ is small?
- Answer: approximate Y with the best bandlet basis algorithm with a threshold $T = \lambda \sqrt{\frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon^2}}!$

- Solution Estimation in the white noise model: $Y = f + \epsilon W$ with W a standard gaussian white noise and ϵ the known standard deviation.
- Question: how to find an estimate F of f from Y so that the quadratic risk $E(||f F||^2)$ is small?
- Answer: approximate Y with the best bandlet basis algorithm with a threshold $T = \lambda \sqrt{\frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon^2}}!$ Noisy



Estimation Wavelets Bandlets



- Solution Estimation in the white noise model: $Y = f + \epsilon W$ with W a standard gaussian white noise and ϵ the known standard deviation.
- Question: how to find an estimate F of f from Y so that the quadratic risk $E(||f F||^2)$ is small?
- Answer: approximate Y with the best bandlet basis algorithm with a threshold $T = \lambda \sqrt{\frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon^2}}!$ Noisy



Estimation Wavelets Bandlets



Questions:

- How is this working?
- Why is it almost optimal for $\mathbf{C}^{lpha} \mathbf{C}^{lpha}$ functions? (Minimax)
- For which functions this method works efficiently? (Maxiset)

Decomposition of $Y = f + \epsilon W$ in an orthogonal basis

$$Y = \sum_{b_n} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n = \sum_{b_n} \left(\langle f, b_n \rangle + \epsilon \langle W, b_n \rangle \right) b_n \quad .$$

Decomposition of $Y = f + \epsilon W$ in an orthogonal basis

$$Y = \sum_{b_n} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n = \sum_{b_n} \left(\langle f, b_n \rangle + \epsilon \langle W, b_n \rangle \right) b_n \quad .$$

 \blacksquare Estimate F by a simple coordinate selection process

$$F = Y_{\Gamma} = \sum_{n \in \Gamma} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n$$

Decomposition of $Y = f + \epsilon W$ in an orthogonal basis

$$Y = \sum_{b_n} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n = \sum_{b_n} \left(\langle f, b_n \rangle + \epsilon \langle W, b_n \rangle \right) b_n$$

 \blacksquare Estimate F by a simple coordinate selection process

$$F = Y_{\Gamma} = \sum_{n \in \Gamma} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n$$

Minimization of the quadratic risk:

$$E(||f - F||^2) = \sum_{n \notin \Gamma} |\langle f, b_n \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \Gamma} \epsilon^2$$

Decomposition of $Y = f + \epsilon W$ in an orthogonal basis

$$Y = \sum_{b_n} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n = \sum_{b_n} \left(\langle f, b_n \rangle + \epsilon \langle W, b_n \rangle \right) b_n$$

 \blacksquare Estimate F by a simple coordinate selection process

$$F = Y_{\Gamma} = \sum_{n \in \Gamma} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n$$

Minimization of the quadratic risk:

$$E(\|f - F\|^2) = \sum_{n \notin \Gamma} |\langle f, b_n \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \Gamma} \epsilon^2$$

• Solution: $\Gamma_O = \{n, |\langle f, b_n \rangle| \ge \epsilon\}$ et $F_O = Y_{\Gamma_0}$.

Decomposition of $Y = f + \epsilon W$ in an orthogonal basis

$$Y = \sum_{b_n} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n = \sum_{b_n} \left(\langle f, b_n \rangle + \epsilon \langle W, b_n \rangle \right) b_n$$

 \blacksquare Estimate F by a simple coordinate selection process

$$F = Y_{\Gamma} = \sum_{n \in \Gamma} \langle Y, b_n \rangle b_n$$

Minimization of the quadratic risk:

$$E(||f - F||^2) = \sum_{n \notin \Gamma} |\langle f, b_n \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \Gamma} \epsilon^2$$

• Solution: $\Gamma_O = \{n, |\langle f, b_n \rangle| \ge \epsilon\}$ et $F_O = Y_{\Gamma_0}$.

Big issue: requires the knowledge of f! (Oracle \neq estimate)

Quadratic risk of the oracle estimator F_O :

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \sum_{n \notin \Gamma_O} |\langle f, b_n \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \Gamma_O} \epsilon^2$$
$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \quad .$$

• Quadratic risk of the oracle estimator F_O :

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \sum_{n \notin \Gamma_O} |\langle f, b_n \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \Gamma_O} \epsilon^2$$
$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \quad .$$

Trade-off between approximation error and number of terms.

• Quadratic risk of the oracle estimator F_O :

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \sum_{n \notin \Gamma_O} |\langle f, b_n \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \Gamma_O} \epsilon^2$$
$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \quad .$$

Trade-off between approximation error and number of terms.
Approximation theory:

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \le C (\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\beta} \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta} \quad .$$

• Quadratic risk of the oracle estimator F_O :

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \sum_{n \notin \Gamma_O} |\langle f, b_n \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \Gamma_O} \epsilon^2$$
$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \quad .$$

Trade-off between approximation error and number of terms.
Approximation theory:

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \le C (\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\beta} \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta} \quad .$$

How to measure the performance of a given estimator? Minimax or Maxiset?

• Minimax: for a given function class Θ and a given estimator F, what is the largest β such that

 $\forall f \in \Theta, \exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \le C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$.

- Minimax: for a given function class Θ and a given estimator F, what is the largest β such that $\forall f \in \Theta, \exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \leq C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$.
- Minimax rate : best possible rate over all estimator = largest possible β (Complexity issue)

- Minimax: for a given function class Θ and a given estimator F, what is the largest β such that $\forall f \in \Theta, \exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \leq C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$.
- Minimax rate : best possible rate over all estimator = largest possible β (Complexity issue)
- Maxiset: for a given β , and a given estimator F, which are the functions such that

 $\exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \le C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \quad .$

- Minimax: for a given function class Θ and a given estimator F, what is the largest β such that $\forall f \in \Theta, \exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \leq C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$.
- Minimax rate : best possible rate over all estimator = largest possible β (Complexity issue)
- Maxiset: for a given β , and a given estimator F, which are the functions such that

$$\exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \le C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$

Approximation theory:

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \le C \left(\epsilon^2\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \Leftrightarrow \|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\beta} \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta} .$$

- Minimax: for a given function class Θ and a given estimator F, what is the largest β such that $\forall f \in \Theta, \exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \leq C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$.
- Minimax rate : best possible rate over all estimator = largest possible β (Complexity issue)
- Maxiset: for a given β , and a given estimator F, which are the functions such that

$$\exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \le C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$

Approximation theory:

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \le C (\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\beta} \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta} \quad .$$

• Oracle minimax : for Θ , a given function class, which basis gives $\Theta \subset \mathcal{A}^{\beta}$ with a large β ? / Is $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$ the minimax rate?.

- Minimax: for a given function class Θ and a given estimator F, what is the largest β such that $\forall f \in \Theta, \exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \leq C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$.
- Minimax rate : best possible rate over all estimator = largest possible β (Complexity issue)
- Maxiset: for a given β , and a given estimator F, which are the functions such that

$$\exists C, \forall \epsilon, E(\|f - F\|^2) \le C(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$

Approximation theory:

$$E(\|f - F_O\|^2) = \|f - f_{\Gamma_O}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma_O| \le C (\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\beta} \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta} \quad .$$

 Oracle minimax : for Θ, a given function class, which basis gives Θ ⊂ A^β with a large β? / Is (ε²)^{β/β+1} the minimax rate?.
Oracle maxiset : The set of functions that are estimated with the rate (ε²)^{β/β+1} is A^β.

• Oracle : $\Gamma_O = \{n, |\langle f, b_n \rangle| \ge \epsilon\}$ and $F_O = Y_{\Gamma_0}$.

- Oracle : $\Gamma_O = \{n, |\langle f, b_n \rangle| \ge \epsilon\}$ and $F_O = Y_{\Gamma_0}$.
- Strategy: keep the largest coefficients!

- Oracle : $\Gamma_O = \{n, |\langle f, b_n \rangle| \ge \epsilon\}$ and $F_O = Y_{\Gamma_0}$.
- Strategy: keep the largest coefficients!
- Thresholding: $\Gamma_S = \{n, |\langle Y, b_n \rangle| \ge T(\epsilon) \text{ and } n \le C\epsilon^{-\gamma}\} \text{ and } F_S = Y_{\Gamma_S}.$
Thresholding estimator

- Oracle : $\Gamma_O = \{n, |\langle f, b_n \rangle| \ge \epsilon\}$ and $F_O = Y_{\Gamma_0}$.
- Strategy: keep the largest coefficients!
- Thresholding: $\Gamma_S = \{n, |\langle Y, b_n \rangle| \ge T(\epsilon) \text{ and } n \le C\epsilon^{-\gamma} \}$ and $F_S = Y_{\Gamma_S}$.
- **Description Description Description**

 $E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C |\log(\epsilon)| E(\|f - F_O\|^2)$ $E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C \min_{\Gamma} \|f - f_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2|\Gamma| \text{ more precise.}$

Thresholding estimator

- Oracle : $\Gamma_O = \{n, |\langle f, b_n \rangle| \ge \epsilon\}$ and $F_O = Y_{\Gamma_0}$.
- Strategy: keep the largest coefficients!
- Thresholding: $\Gamma_S = \{n, |\langle Y, b_n \rangle| \ge T(\epsilon) \text{ and } n \le C\epsilon^{-\gamma} \}$ and $F_S = Y_{\Gamma_S}$.
- **Description Description Description**

 $E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C |\log(\epsilon)| E(\|f - F_O\|^2)$ $E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C \min_{\Gamma} \|f - f_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2|\Gamma| \text{ more precise.}$

Theorem (Maxiset) (Cohen, DeVore, Kerkyacharian, Picard):

$$\begin{split} E(\|f - F_S\|^2) &\leq C\left(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \Leftrightarrow f \in V^*_{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} \\ \Leftrightarrow \min_{\Gamma} \|f - f_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 T^2 |\Gamma| \leq C(T^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \\ \Leftrightarrow \|f - f_M\|^2 \leq CM^{-\beta} \text{ plus linear approximation property } (\gamma!) \\ \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{\gamma} \quad . \end{split}$$

Thresholding estimator

- Oracle : $\Gamma_O = \{n, |\langle f, b_n \rangle| \ge \epsilon\}$ and $F_O = Y_{\Gamma_0}$.
- Strategy: keep the largest coefficients!
- Thresholding: $\Gamma_S = \{n, |\langle Y, b_n \rangle| \ge T(\epsilon) \text{ and } n \le C\epsilon^{-\gamma} \}$ and $F_S = Y_{\Gamma_S}$.
- **Description Description Description**

 $E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C |\log(\epsilon)| E(\|f - F_O\|^2)$ $E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C \min_{\Gamma} \|f - f_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2|\Gamma| \text{ more precise.}$

Theorem (Maxiset) (Cohen, DeVore, Kerkyacharian, Picard):

$$\begin{split} E(\|f - F_S\|^2) &\leq C\left(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \Leftrightarrow f \in V^*_{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} \\ \Leftrightarrow \min_{\Gamma} \|f - f_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 T^2 |\Gamma| \leq C(T^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} \\ \Leftrightarrow \|f - f_M\|^2 \leq CM^{-\beta} \text{ plus linear approximation property } (\gamma!) \\ \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{\gamma} \quad . \end{split}$$

Mey factor: Approximation properties of the basis!

• For $f \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$).

- For $f \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$).
- Maxiset associated to the rate $(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$:

- For $f \ \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$).
- Maxiset associated to the rate $(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$:

 $\mathcal{A}^{\beta} = \mathcal{W}B^{\beta}_{2/(2\beta+1),2/(2\beta+1)} =$ Weak version of $B^{\beta}_{2/(2\beta+1),2/(2\beta+1)}$.

• Natural question: Is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}$?

- For $f \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\beta = \alpha$).
- Maxiset associated to the rate $(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$:

 $\mathcal{A}^{\beta} = \mathcal{W}B^{\beta}_{2/(2\beta+1),2/(2\beta+1)} =$ Weak version of $B^{\beta}_{2/(2\beta+1),2/(2\beta+1)}$.

• Natural question: Is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}$?

Rephrased question: Are the function of $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ approximable at the rate $M^{-\alpha}$?

- For $f \ \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\beta = \alpha$).
- Maxiset associated to the rate $(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$:

- Natural question: Is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}$?
- Rephrased question: Are the function of $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ approximable at the rate $M^{-\alpha}$?
- Answer: No, $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \not\subset \mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}!$

- For $f \ \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$).
- Maxiset associated to the rate $(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$:

- Natural question: Is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}$?
- Rephrased question: Are the function of $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ approximable at the rate $M^{-\alpha}$?
- Answer: No, $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \not\subset \mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}!$
- Well known approximation fact: with M wavelets $||f f_M||^2 \le C M^{-1}$.

- For $f \ \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$).
- Maxiset associated to the rate $(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$:

- Natural question: Is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}$?
- Rephrased question: Are the function of $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ approximable at the rate $M^{-\alpha}$?
- Answer: No, $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \not\subset \mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}!$
- Well known approximation fact: with M wavelets $||f f_M||^2 \le C M^{-1}$.
- Minimax risk requires $||f f_M||^2 \le C M^{-\alpha}$.

- For $f \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$).
- Maxiset associated to the rate $(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$:

- Natural question: Is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}$?
- Rephrased question: Are the function of $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ approximable at the rate $M^{-\alpha}$?
- Answer: No, $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \not\subset \mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}!$
- Well known approximation fact: with M wavelets $||f f_M||^2 \le C M^{-1}$.

- For $f \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ (\mathbf{C}^{α} outside \mathbf{C}^{α} contours) (*Korostelev, Tsybakov*): minimax rate = best possible estimation rate = $(\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$ ($\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$).
- Maxiset associated to the rate $(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$:

- Natural question: Is $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}$?
- Rephrased question: Are the function of $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ approximable at the rate $M^{-\alpha}$?
- Answer: No, $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \not\subset \mathcal{W}B^{\alpha}_{2/(2\alpha+1),2/(2\alpha+1)}!$
- Well known approximation fact: with M wavelets $||f f_M||^2 \le C M^{-1}$.
- Minimax risk requires $||f f_M||^2 \le C M^{-\alpha}$.
- Big issue: how to threshold in the "best" bandlet basis without knowing f?

- Back to the oracle estimator.

- Back to the oracle estimator.
- Oracle risk: $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma} ||f f_{\Gamma}||^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.

- Back to the oracle estimator.
- Oracle risk: $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma} ||f f_{\Gamma}||^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.
- Empirical risk: $\Gamma_S = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma \subset [1, \epsilon^{-\gamma}]} \|Y Y_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.

- Back to the oracle estimator.
- Oracle risk: $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma} ||f f_{\Gamma}||^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.
- Empirical risk: $\Gamma_S = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma \subset [1, \epsilon^{-\gamma}]} \|Y Y_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.

- Back to the oracle estimator.
- Oracle risk: $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma} ||f f_{\Gamma}||^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.
- Empirical risk: $\Gamma_S = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma \subset [1, \epsilon^{-\gamma}]} \|Y Y_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.
- Model selection:

$$F_S = \underset{P_mY, m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_mY\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \dim(m) \quad .$$

with \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} , model collection, with models m = subspaces spanned by some of the $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ first basis vector.

- Back to the oracle estimator.
- Oracle risk: $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma} \|f f_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.
- Empirical risk: $\Gamma_S = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma \subset [1, \epsilon^{-\gamma}]} \|Y Y_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.
- Model selection:

$$F_S = \underset{P_mY, m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_mY\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \dim(m) \quad .$$

with \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} , model collection, with models m = subspaces spanned by some of the $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ first basis vector.

Theorem (Barron, Birgé, Massart) \simeq (Donoho, Johnstone): For λ large enough,

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C \min_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \dim(m)$$

- Back to the oracle estimator.
- Oracle risk: $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma} \|f f_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.
- Empirical risk: $\Gamma_S = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Gamma \subset [1, \epsilon^{-\gamma}]} \|Y Y_{\Gamma}\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 |\Gamma|$.
- Model selection:

$$F_S = \underset{P_mY, m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_mY\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \dim(m) \quad .$$

with \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} , model collection, with models m = subspaces spanned by some of the $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ first basis vector.

For Theorem (Barron, Birgé, Massart) \simeq (Donoho, Johnstone): For λ large enough,

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C \min_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \dim(m)$$

Suitable approach when more than one basis is considered.

Simplified setting: \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} model collection with each model m spanned by some vectors chosen amongst κ different vectors.

- Simplified setting: \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} model collection with each model m spanned by some vectors chosen amongst κ different vectors.
- **D** Theorem (*Barron, Birgé, Massart*):

$$F_S = \underset{P_mY, \ m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_mY\|^2 + \lambda^2 \log(\kappa) \epsilon^2 \dim(m)$$

satisfies

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C \min_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + \lambda^2 \log(\kappa) \epsilon^2 \dim(m)$$

- Simplified setting: \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} model collection with each model m spanned by some vectors chosen amongst κ different vectors.
- Theorem (Barron, Birgé, Massart):

 $\Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{\kappa} \quad .$

$$F_S = \underset{P_mY, \ m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_mY\|^2 + \lambda^2 \log(\kappa) \epsilon^2 \dim(m)$$

satisfies

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C \min_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + \lambda^2 \log(\kappa) \epsilon^2 \dim(m)$$

• Theorem (Maxiset): $E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \leq C \left(\log(\kappa) \epsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$ $\Leftrightarrow \min_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + \lambda^2 \log(\kappa) \epsilon^2 \dim(m) \leq C \left(\log(\kappa) \epsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$ $\Leftrightarrow \min_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + T^2 \dim(m) \leq C \left(T^2\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$ $\Leftrightarrow \|f - f_M\|^2 \leq CM^{-\beta} \text{ plus linear approximation property}$

• \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} : collection of subspaces spanned by some of the first $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ basis vector of a suitable collection of bandelet bases.

- \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} : collection of subspaces spanned by some of the first $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ basis vector of a suitable collection of bandelet bases.
- Polynomial bound on the number κ of bandlets in all the considered bandlet basis: $\log \kappa = \gamma |\log \epsilon|$.

- \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} : collection of subspaces spanned by some of the first $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ basis vector of a suitable collection of bandelet bases.
- Polynomial bound on the number κ of bandlets in all the considered bandlet basis: $\log \kappa = \gamma |\log \epsilon|$.
- Bandlet model selection:

$$F_S = \underset{m \in \mathcal{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_m Y\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \dim(m)$$

- \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} : collection of subspaces spanned by some of the first $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ basis vector of a suitable collection of bandelet bases.
- Polynomial bound on the number κ of bandlets in all the considered bandlet basis: $\log \kappa = \gamma |\log \epsilon|$.
- Bandlet model selection:

$$F_S = \underset{m \in \mathcal{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_m Y\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \mathsf{dim}(m)|$$

Same optimization algorithm than for approximation.

- \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} : collection of subspaces spanned by some of the first $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ basis vector of a suitable collection of bandelet bases.
- Polynomial bound on the number κ of bandlets in all the considered bandlet basis: $\log \kappa = \gamma |\log \epsilon|$.
- Bandlet model selection:

$$F_S = \underset{m \in \mathcal{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_m Y\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \mathsf{dim}(m)|$$

- Same optimization algorithm than for approximation.
- **•** Theorem (Almost minimax optimality): if $f \in \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ the

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C\left(|\log(\epsilon)|\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$$

- \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} : collection of subspaces spanned by some of the first $\epsilon^{-\gamma}$ basis vector of a suitable collection of bandelet bases.
- Polynomial bound on the number κ of bandlets in all the considered bandlet basis: $\log \kappa = \gamma |\log \epsilon|$.
- Bandlet model selection:

$$F_S = \underset{m \in \mathcal{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - P_m Y\|^2 + \lambda^2 |\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2 \mathsf{dim}(m)|$$

- Same optimization algorithm than for approximation.
- **•** Theorem (Almost minimax optimality): if $f \in \mathbf{C}^{\alpha} \mathbf{C}^{\alpha}$ the

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C\left(|\log(\epsilon)|\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$$

Theorem (Maxiset):

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C\left(|\log(\epsilon)|\epsilon^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha}_{\gamma}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \forall M, \exists \mathcal{B}, \|f - f_M\|^2 \le CM^{-\alpha}$$

plus linear approximation property .

Noisy $(20, 19 \, \text{dB})$



Bandlets $(30,29 \,\mathrm{dB})$





Wavelets $(28, 21 \, dB)$



Noisy $(20, 19 \, \text{dB})$



$\mathsf{Bandlets}\ (30{,}29\,\mathsf{dB})$





Wavelets $(28, 21 \, dB)$

Noisy

Bandlets

Wavelets

Noisy $(20, 19 \, \text{dB})$

Bandlets $(27, 68 \, \mathrm{dB})$

Wavelets $(25,79 \, dB)$

Noisy



Bandlets



Wavelets









Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.
 - Are the natural images within this set?

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.
 - Are the natural images within this set? Probably not (Texture?)

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.
 - Are the natural images within this set? Probably not (Texture?)
- Open issues:
 - Functional characterization of the bandlet approximation spaces.

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.
 - Are the natural images within this set? Probably not (Texture?)
- Open issues:
 - Functional characterization of the bandlet approximation spaces.
 - What about other penalizations?

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.
 - Are the natural images within this set? Probably not (Texture?)
- Open issues:
 - Functional characterization of the bandlet approximation spaces.
 - What about other penalizations?
 - Is the minimax approach too pessimistic?

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.
 - Are the natural images within this set? Probably not (Texture?)
- Open issues:
 - Functional characterization of the bandlet approximation spaces.
 - What about other penalizations?
 - Is the minimax approach too pessimistic? Probably not (work in progress...)

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.
 - Are the natural images within this set? Probably not (Texture?)
- Open issues:
 - Functional characterization of the bandlet approximation spaces.
 - What about other penalizations?
 - Is the minimax approach too pessimistic? Probably not (work in progress...)

- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image representation.
- Bandelets are suitable for good geometrical image estimation.
- Strong connection between these two properties.
- Very strong link between model selection estimation convergence rate and approximation rate.
- What are the images well estimated by the bandelet estimator?
 - Maxiset answer: the images well approximated by the bandelets!.
 - Are the natural images within this set? Probably not (Texture?)
- Open issues:
 - Functional characterization of the bandlet approximation spaces.
 - What about other penalizations?
 - Is the minimax approach too pessimistic? Probably not (work in progress...)

Sketch of proof

- Model selection and Maxiset Theorems sketch of proof.
- $\bullet \quad \epsilon^2 = \frac{1}{N}$
- Players:
 - Estimate: $F_S = \operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \|Y P_m Y\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m)$
 - Model Selection Oracle: $f_O = \operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m)$
 - Maxiset Oracle: $f_{O'} = \operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \|Y P_m Y\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{4N} \dim(m)$
- Model selection:

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_F)) \le C\left(\|f - f_O\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_O)\right) ??$$

Maxiset:
$$\|f - f_{O'}\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_{O'}) \le CE(\|f - F_S\|^2)$$
 ??

Model selection: general case but in probability.
 Maxiset: simple case (embedded models).

Model Selection -1

Players:

- Estimate: $F_S = \operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \|Y P_m Y\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m)$
- Model Selection Oracle: $f_O = \operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m)$
- Model selection: With large probability

$$\|f - F_S\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_F) \le C \left(\|f - f_O\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_O) \right)$$

Uniform noise control over all models m: Gaussian concentration inequality

$$P\left(\forall m, \|P_m W\| \le \sqrt{12\log N\dim(m)}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{N}$$

Model Selection – 2

By definition:

$$\|Y - F_S\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_F) \le \|Y - f_O\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_O)$$

and using $||Y - g||^2 = ||Y - f||^2 + 2\langle Y - f, f - g \rangle + ||f - g||^2$

$$\|f - F_S\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_F) \le \|f - f_O\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_O) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \langle W, f_O - F_S \rangle$$

$$|\langle W, f_O - F_S \rangle| \le ||P_{m_O \cup m_F} W|| ||f_P - F_S|| . ||f_O - F_S|| \le ||f_O - f|| + ||f - F_S|| \le 2(||f - F_S||^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_F))^{1/2}$$

Concentration inequality:

$$P\left(\forall m, \|P_mW\| \le \sqrt{12\log N\dim(m)}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{N}$$

• Thus with
$$P \ge 1 - \frac{1}{N}$$
,

 $\|P_{m_O \cup m_F} W\| \le \sqrt{12 \log N(\dim m_O + \dim(m_F))}$ $|\langle W, f_O - F_S \rangle| \le \sqrt{48/\lambda^2} (\|f - F_S\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_F)) \quad .$

Maxiset - 1

Maxiset:

$$\|f - f_{O'}\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_{O'}) \le CE(\|f - F_S\|^2)???$$

$$E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C\left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$
$$\implies \min_{m \in \mathcal{M}_N} \|f - P_m f\|^2 + \log N \frac{\dim(m)}{N} \le C\left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$
$$\implies f \in \mathcal{A}^{\beta}$$

- Simple proof when the models are embedded $(m_1 \subset m_2 \text{ or } m_1 \supset m_2 \text{ for all } m_1, m_2)$.
- General case much more complex...
- Thresholding is a simple extension of the embedded model case.

Maxiset – 2

- We are going to prove that $||f F_S||^2 \ge ||f f_O||^2$!
- If $m_F \subset m_O$, $||f F_S||^2 \ge ||f P_{m_F}f||^2 \ge ||f f_O||^2$.
- Otherwise $m_F \supset m_O$ and $\|f F_S\|^2 = \|f P_{m_F}f|^2 + \|P_{m_F}f F_S\|^2 = \|f P_{m_O}f|^2 + \|P_{m_O}W\|^2 + \|P_{m_F \setminus m_O}W\|^2 \|P_{m_F \setminus m_O}f\|^2$.
 Recall that

$$\|Y - P_{m_F}Y\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_F) \le \|Y - P_{m_O}Y\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_O)$$
$$\|f - P_{m_O}f\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{4N} \dim(m_O) \le \|f - P_{m_F}f\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{4N} \dim(m_F)$$

Thus

$$\|P_{m_{F}\setminus m_{O}}f\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4}\|P_{m_{F}\setminus m_{O}}Y\|^{2}$$
$$\|P_{m_{F}\setminus m_{O}}f\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|P_{m_{F}\setminus m_{O}}W\|^{2} + \|P_{m_{F}\setminus m_{O}}f\|^{2}\right)$$

which leads to

$$\|P_{m_F \setminus m_O} f\|^2 \le \|P_{m_F \setminus m_O} W\|^2$$

Maxiset – 3

• We prove that $\|f - f_O\|^2 \le \|f - F_S\|^2$ and thus that

$$\|f - f_O\|^2 \le E(\|f - F_S\|^2) \le C\left(\frac{\lambda_N}{N}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$$

- We should now work on the dependency on N to control the number of term and prove that $||f f_O||^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_O) \le C' \left(\frac{\lambda_N}{N}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}$
- Using that $m_{O(N/2)}$ is not as efficient as $m_{O(N)}$ so that

$$\begin{split} \|f - f_{O(N)}\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_{O(N)}) &\leq \|f - f_{O(N/2)}\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N} \dim(m_{O(N/2)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|f - f_{O(N/2)}\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|f - f_{O(N/2)}\|^2 + \lambda \frac{\log N}{N/2} \dim(m_{O(N/2)}) \right) \end{split}$$

Slightly more tricky because of the log N...
 Conclusion obtained by recursion.